EXHIBIT 4

```
1
               UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
               EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
 2
                    SOUTHERN DIVISION
 3
                                 )
 4
                                 )
                                    Civil Action No.
                                    5:16-cv-10444-JEL-MKM
 5
     In re: FLINT WATER CASES
                                    (consolidated)
                                )
                                 )
 6
                                    Hon. Judith E. Levy
                                    Mag. Mona K. Majzoub
 7
 8
 9
                   HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
10
               Wednesday, November 16, 2022
11
12
               Remote videotaped deposition of
13
    CARRIE GRIFFITHS, held at the location of the witness
14
     in Boston, Massachusetts, commencing at 9:09 a.m., on
15
     the above date before Carol A. Kirk, Registered Merit
16
    Reporter, Certified Shorthand Reporter, and Notary
17
    Public.
18
19
20
21
                GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES
22
            877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax
                     deps@golkow.com
23
24
```

```
1
                   Of course it would.
             Α.
 2
                   Okay. So don't you think it's
             0.
     important to know as the communications director
 3
     for VNA who has access to and the ability to
 4
 5
     post on behalf of VNA through this Twitter
     account?
 6
 7
             Α.
                   I'm not concerned about that. We
     have an excellent team at headquarters. All of
 8
 9
     this information is vetted and approved, and I
10
     have no concerns about that.
11
             Q.
                   All of it is vetted by who?
12
                   By the communications and the
             Α.
13
     legal team.
14
             0.
                   Which communications and legal
15
     team?
16
                   I am not exactly sure who everyone
             Α.
     is on the team.
17
18
             Q.
                   Okay. Well, you mentioned a
19
     communications team in France, and you mentioned
20
     a communications team in Veolia North America.
21
     You've already described that you had no input
22
     whatsoever in the content, sum, or substance of
23
     what was tweeted out through this Twitter
24
     account, correct?
```

```
1
                   MR. KRAMER: Object to form.
 2
             Α.
                   Correct.
 3
                   So who vetted it? Who vetted the
             Q.
     content? Who specifically vetted it?
 4
 5
                   MR. KRAMER: If you know.
                   I don't know for sure. Pierre was
 6
             Α.
 7
     involved working with the headquarters' legal
 8
     teams. I'm not exactly sure.
 9
             Q.
                   So there's a separate legal team
     for headquarters in France than there is for
10
11
     Veolia North America; is that accurate?
12
                   MR. KRAMER: Objection; misstates
13
             testimony.
14
                   There's a legal team in
             Α.
15
     headquarters, and there's a legal team in Veolia
16
     North America.
17
             0.
                   The legal team in headquarters,
18
     are those French attorneys or American
19
     attorneys?
20
                   I don't know.
             Α.
21
             Q.
                   When you're referring to the legal
22
     team for Veolia North America, are you referring
     to lawyers who are on this deposition, or are
23
24
     you referring to an internal legal team or some
```

```
1
    combination of both?
 2
                   It depends on the situation.
             Α.
                   In terms of the situation
 3
    involving the Veolia Flint Facts handle,
 4
    @vnaflintfacts, who from -- which legal team was
 5
    responsible for vetting the sum and substance of
 6
 7
    the tweets?
 8
                   I don't know.
             A .
 9
             Q.
                   Who would I ask?
10
                   Pierre Farcot.
             A .
11
                   Is it your testimony that every
             Q.
12
    single entry, every single tweet that was put
    out through this Twitter handle @vnaflintfacts
13
14
    was first vetted by communications and legal at
15
    Veolia?
16
                   MR. KRAMER: Objection; misstates
17
             testimony.
18
                   MR. STERN: That's why I'm asking.
19
             Α.
                   I don't know for sure.
20
             Q.
                   Would you have expected it to be?
21
                   MR. KRAMER: Objection.
22
             Α.
                   Yes.
23
             Q.
                   Why?
24
                   We have a system with
             Α.
```

```
1
                   Do you recall that?
 2
             Α.
                   Yes.
 3
             Q.
                   And you mentioned that you assumed
    that lawyers may have vetted the tweets; is that
 4
 5
    right?
 6
             Α.
                   Yes.
 7
             Q.
                   As you sit here today, though, you
    have no information one way or the other as to
 8
 9
    whether any of the lawyers involved in the trial
10
    reviewed the tweets at all; isn't that right?
11
                   MR. STERN: Objection to form.
12
                   I have no idea.
13
                   In fact, you have no information
             Q.
14
    at all as to whether lawyers were involved in
15
    any way with any of the tweets, correct?
16
             Α.
                   Correct.
17
                   MR. STERN: Objection; form.
18
             Q.
                   Do you have any information today
19
    as to whether lawyers were involved in one way
20
    or the other with any of the tweets?
21
             Α.
                   No.
22
             0.
                   So to be clear, no one should
23
    construe your testimony here today to be that
24
    the lawyers involved in the trial were involved
```